CHROM. 9764

SIMPLE UREAS DERIVED FROM DIISOCYANATES AND THEIR LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY ON A 5-cm COLUMN

CORAZON R. HASTINGS VOGT", CHAN YAN KO and TIMOTHY R. RYAN

Environmental Trace Substances Research Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo. 65201 *(U.S.A.)*

(Received September 7th, 1976)

SUMMARY

Aliphatic and aromatic diisocyanates were converted to ureas by a chromophoric derivatizing reagent. The ureas were isolated in the pure form and characterized by various methods including nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectrometry. A chromatographic analytical separation of the urea test solutions was established. The method was used to demonstrate the derivatization of the isocyanates and direct determination of the produced ureas from the reaction mixture.

INTRODUCTION

The isocyanates are widely used in the urethane foam industry. These compounds are known to cause skin and respiratory tract irritations. Methods of sampling and analysis of airborne isocyanates include colorimetry¹⁻³, thin-layer chromatography (TLC)4 and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)5.

In the development and evaluation **of a** sampling technique for isocyanates, it became necessary to procure (1) standards for effective analysis, and (2) liquid chromatographic (LC) columns that could be repeatedly replaced. While the former are not commercially available, basic reaction procedures for their preparation have been reported⁵. The high cost of pre-packed columns made their use impractical, and led to our own column preparation. This paper reports the preparation, isolation snd characterization of ureas that serve as reference standards in the analysis. It also reports a highly efficient, inexpensive column capable of separating ureas derived from common diisocyanates, either in their isolated forms or direct from reaction mixtures.

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus

A Model 202 liquid chromatograph (Waters Assoc., Milford, Mass., U.S.A.)

^{*} **To whoni reprint requests should be addressed.**

was equipped with a Model U6K Universal LC Injector, a Model 660 Solvent Programmer, and two Model 6000 pumps needed for gradient elution. A Schoeffel Model 770 spectroflow monitor (Schoeffel, Westwood, N-J., U.S.A.) or Waters Assoc. Model 440 absorbance detector, set at 254 nm, was used.

Chemicals

The 1,6-diisocyanatohexane (98 %), toluene 2,4_diisocyanate (99 %), p-tolylisocyanate (99%), *n*-propylamine (98%) and 4-nitrobenzyl chloride (99%) were obtained from Aldrich (Milwaukee, Wisc., U.S.A.). The 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, Mondur TD (mixture of 65% toluene-2,4-diisocyanate and 35% toluene-2,6-diisocyanate) and Desmodur N-100 (a high-molecular-weight biuret of 1,6-diisocyanato**hexane) were obtained from Mobay (Pittsburgh, Pa., U.S.A.).**

Preparation of derivatizing reagent

Fifty grams (0.29 moles) of 4-nitrobenzyl chloride were dissolved in 240 ml of benzene. The solution was brought to reflux, then $36 \text{ g} (0.61 \text{ moles})$ of *n*-propylamine were added dropwise over a 15-min period and refluxing continued for 5 h. The solvent was stripped off in a rotary evaporator (Biichi Rotavapor-R, Switzerland; distributed by Fisher Scientific, St. Louis, MO., U.S.A.) at 50". The residue, dissolved in 80 ml of double distilled water, was treated with 30 ml of a 45% NaOH solution. Then 100 ml of benzene were added and the benzene layer was separated after stirring for 5 min. Benzene and the excess *n*-propylamine were stripped off in a rotary evaporator. The product (N-4-nitrobenzyl-N-n-propylamine) was dissolved in 50 ml of acetone and its salt was formed by the addition of 34 g of conc. HCl. The mixture was evaporated to dryness at 50° in a rotary evaporator, and the salt, washed three times with acetone-benzene (1:1) under suction filtration, was dried overnight in a vacuum oven at 50° [m.p., 230°–232°, IR (KBr) 1340, 1520 cm⁻¹ (C-NO₂); in addition to the 2 IR bands of the salt, the free amine showed a band at 3320 cm^{-1} (N-H)]. From here on the N-4-nitrobenzyl-N-n-propylamine is referred to as nitro reagent. This derivatizing reagent, introduced by Keller *et al.* for TLC4 and Dunlap ef *al.* for **HPLC?, is now available from Regis (Morton Grove, Ill., U.S.A.)6.**

Preparation of derivatives

The ureas of interest have been prepared before by Dunlap *et aL5,* who **analyzed the products from the reaction mixture** by HPLC. The procedures were adopted in the present work, which include the additional isolation, purification and characterization of the reaction products. The disappearance of the IR (KBr) band at 2275 cm⁻¹ (N=C=O) of the isocyanates served to monitor the extent of reaction during the preparation of the ureas.

In each of the preparations, a minimum of 1:2 molar ratio of diisocyanate to nitro reagent was maintained. Since the primary objective of the preparation was to acquire the solid ureas needed as primary standards for isocyanate analysis, no attempts were made to optimize yield.

The 4,4'-diphenylmethane-di[3-n-propyl-3(4-nitrobenzyl)] urea (4,4'-MDIU). A 2.43-g portion of the hydrochloride of nitro reagent was weighed and dissolved in 25-ml distilled water; 15 ml of 1 N NaOH was added to precipitate the free amine, which was then extracted into 50 ml n -heptane (toluene and benzene can also be used).

A 1.30-g sample of 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (4,4'-MDI), purified, and dissolved in 25 ml CH,CI,, was poured with stirring into the nitro reagent heptane solution. The urea of MD1 was precipitated and was subsequently filtered and dried. It was purified further by precipitation with *n*-heptane from the CH₂Cl₂ solution (about **1.1 g). 4,4'-,MDIU: m-p., 151"-153"; IR (KBr), 1340, 1500-1520, 1630-1650 and 3330** cm⁻¹; UV (CH₂Cl₂) ε_{254} , 4.76 \times 10⁴ and ε_{270} , 2.44 \times 10⁴; (70 eV) *m/e* (relative intensity): **638 (3.5)** $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{38}\text{N}_6\text{O}_6$, 502 (0.7) $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{32}\text{N}_5\text{O}_4$, 444 (7.0) $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_4\text{O}_4$, 194 (100) $C_{10}H_{14}N_2O_2$; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.90–1.15 (t, 3H, $J_{1,2} = 3.5$ Hz, y-CH₃), δ 1.50–1.90 (d of q , 2H, $J_{1,2} = 3.5$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 3.8$ Hz, β -CH₂), δ 2.25 (s, 2H, phenyl-CH₂-phenyl), δ 3.23–3.50 (t, 2H, $J_{2,3} = 3.8$ Hz, α -CH₂), δ 4.00 (s, 1H, N–H), δ 4.90 (s, 2H, phenyl-CH₂-N), δ 7.06-7.40 (*m*, 4H, phenyl H's), δ 7.50-7.66 (*d*, 2H, $J_{o,m} = 4.0$ Hz, phenyl **H**'s *m* to nitro), δ 8.20-8.38 *(d, 2H,* $J_{o,m} = 4.0$ *Hz, phenyl H's <i>o* to nitro); CHN analysis, calculated for $C_{35}H_{38}N_6O_6$: C 65.83, H 5.96, N 13.7; found, C 65.57, H **6.37, N 13.62.**

The 2,4-(I-totyl)-di[3-rspropyl-3-(4+itrobenzyl)] urea (2,4-TDIU) _ **The hydrochloride of nitro reagent (1.03 g) was extracted into 50 ml of toluene as described earlier. A 0.32-g portion of toluene 2,4-diisocyanate (2,4-TDI) dissolved in 30 ml toluene was slowly mixed with the nitro reagent. The precipitate was filtered and purified by reprecipitation with hexane from the minimal amount of CH,CI, (about 0.15 g)_ 2,4-TDIU: m-p., 131'-134'; IR (KBr), 1340, 1520, 1630, and 3280cm-'; UV** $\left(CH_2Cl_2\right)\varepsilon_{254}$, 2.23 \times 10⁴ and ε_{270} , 1.89 \times 10⁴; (70 eV) *m/e* 562 (0.8) $C_{29}H_{34}N_6O_6$, 368 (100) C₁₉H₂₀N₄O₄, 194 (61) C₁₀H₁₄N₂O₂; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97-1.23 $(t, 3H, J_{1,2} =$ **3.5 Hz, y-CH₃),** δ **1.30–1.90 (***d* **of** *q***, 2H,** $J_{1,2} = 3.5$ **Hz,** $J_{2,3} = 4.0$ **Hz,** β **-CH₂),** δ **2.14** $(s, 3H,$ phenyl-CH₃ $)$, δ 3.10–3.50 $(t, 2H, J_{2,3} = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, \alpha\text{-CH}_2$, δ 4.75 $(s, 2H,$ phenyl- CH_2-N), δ 6.28-6.45 (d, 1H, $J = 5.5$ Hz, N-H), δ 7.18-7.50 (t, 3H, $J = 5.0$ Hz, phenyl **H**'s), δ 7.63-7.78 (d, 2H, $J_{a,m} = 4.0$ Hz phenyl H's *m* to nitro), δ 8.22-8.37 (d, 2H, $J_{a,m} = 4.0$ Hz, phenyl H's *o* to nitro); CHN analysis, calculated for $C_{29}H_{34}N_6O_6$: C **6?.:2, H 6.05, N 14.95; found, C 62.06, H 6.11, N 14.70.**

The 2,6-(I-tolyl)-di[3-n-propyl-3-(4-nitrobenzyl)] urea (2,6-TDIU). **A solution of 0.13 g Mondur TD in 25 ml toluene was slowly added with stirring to the 50 ml toluenc solution of the nitro reagent. It was left standing for 30 min. The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum. The 2,6-TDIU was recovered by dissolving the precipitate into minimum amount** $(3-5 \text{ ml})$ **of** CH_2Cl_2 **and adding just enough toluene to initiate precipitation. (Note that it was proven in an earlier testing that in a solution** of 2,4-TDI, 2,6-TDI and nitro reagent, the 2,6-TDIU precipitates first from CH_2Cl_2 **by the addition of toluene). The precipitate was filtered, washed with a small amount** of toluene and dried under vacuum (about 0.05 g). 2,6-TDIU: m.p., 185[°]-187[°]; IR **(KBr), 1340, 1480–1500, 1580–1630 and 3360 cm⁻¹; UV (CH₂Cl₂)** ε_{254} **2.89** \times **10⁴ and** ε_{270} 2.67 \times 10⁴; (70 eV) m/e 562 (3.5) $C_{29}H_{34}N_6O_6$, 368 (55) $C_{19}H_{20}N_4O_4$, 194 (100) $C_{10}H_{14}N_{2}O_{2}$, 174 (73) $C_{9}H_{6}N_{2}O_{2}$; NMR (CDCI₃) δ 0.85-1.10 (t, 3H, $J_{12} = 3.5$ Hz, γ -CH₃), δ 1.53 (s, 2H, β -CH₂), δ 1.95 (s, 3H, phenyl-CH₃), δ 3.22-3.45 (t, 2H, $J_{2,3} =$ 4.0 Hz, α -CH₂), δ 4.66 (s, 2H, phenyl-CH₂-N), δ 6.15 (s, 1H, N-H), δ 7.23 (s, 3H, **phenyl H's),** δ **7.40–7.55 (d, 2H,** $J_{o,m} = 4.5$ **Hz, phenyl H's m to nitro),** δ **8.18–8.33** (d, 2H, $J_{o,m} = 4.5$ Hz, phenyl H's *m* to nitro). CHN analysis, calculated for **Cz9H34NA06: C 61.92, H 6.05, N 14.95; found, C 61.57, H 6.24, N 15.10.**

The 1,6-Hexane-di[3-n-propyl-3(4-nitrobenzyl)] urea (I\$-HDIU). **.l g of the hydrochloride of nitro reagent was extracted into 25 ml of benzene as the free amine**

and 25 ml of acetone was added followed by 0.168 g 1,6-diisocyanatohexane. After standing for several minutes, most of the solvents were stripped off by rotary evaporation. Hexane was added to precipitate the product (about 0.25 g). 1,6-HDIU: m.p., 131°-133°; IR (KBr), 1340, 1500-1540 and 1620 cm⁻¹; UV (CH₂Cl₂) ε_{254} 1.04 \times 10⁴ and ε_{270} 1.56 \times 10⁴; (70 eV) m/e 556 (0.5) C₂₈H₄₀N₆O₆, 362 (30) C₁₈H₂₆N₄O₄, 194 (100) $C_{10}H_{14}N_2O_2$; NMR $\left(CDCI_3\right)\delta$ 0.90-1.13 $(t, 3H, J_{1,2} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, \gamma\text{-CH}_3$, δ 1.27-1.60 (d of t, 2H, $J_{1,2} = 3.5$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 3.8$ Hz, β -CH₂), δ 1.77 (s, 3H, phenyl-CH₃), δ 3.18-3.42 $(t, 2H, J_{2,3} = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, \alpha\text{-CH}_2$, δ 4.75 (s, 2H, phenyl-CH₂-N), δ 7.40 (s, 1H, N-H), δ **7.48-7.65** *(d, 2H,* $J_{o,m} = 4.0$ *Hz, phenyl H's m to nitro),* δ *8.25-8.43 <i>(d, 2H,* $J_{o,m} =$ 4.0 Hz, phenyl H's *o* to nitro); CHN analysis, calculated for $C_{28}H_{40}N_6O_6$: C 60.4, H 7.19, N 15.11; found, C 60.50, H 7.48, N 14.65.

Preparation of LC column

A 5-cm column was evenly cut from a 6-ft. precision-bore stainless-steel tube (Analabs, North Haven, Conn., U.S.A.). The tube (1/4 in. O.D., 4.5 mm I.D.) was cleaned with soap solution, water, methanol, chloroform and acetone. No attempt was made to smooth the inside of the tube further.

The column packing used was PartisilTM 5 (5 μ m; Whatman, Clifton, N.J., U.S.A.), a microparticulate silica packing with a surface area of about 350 m^2/g and pore size of about 50 A. The particles have a narrow size range.

A $1/4$ -1/16 in. Swagelok stainless-steel reducing union with a 2- μ m porous disc (St. Louis Valve & Fitting Co., Ferguson, Mo., U.S.A.) at the outlet, and $5-\mu m$ disc at the inlet, were used as retainers at the ends of the column. **These fittings have** low dead volume (i.e., column end drilled). The packing was done by a balanced-density slurry method (tetrabromoethane-carbon tetrachloride mixed in proper ratios to match the density of the microparticulate packing). Chloroform hydraulic pressure of 5500 p.s.i. was applied using an air-driven constant-pressure liquid pump (Haskel, Burbank, Calif., U.S.A.). Chloroform was pumped through the packed column till it smelled free of the packing liquids.

LC operating conditions

Results presented were obtained using a linear gradient of B-A (1:10) to 100% B, completed in 10 min at a rate of 2 ml/min, where solvent **B** is 9-l % isopropanol and A is dichloromethane. The analytical column was easily regenerated by a continuous flush with isopropanol followed by dichloromethane, each for 30 min or longer, depending on the day's work load of the column.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calibration curves shown in Fig. 1 were obtained with solutions of the crystallized ureas. The minimum amounts detected were 1.2 ng for 4,4'-MDIU, 2,4- TDIU and 2,6-TDIU and 6.2 ng for 1,6-HDIU injected.

A mixture of four diisocyanates plus a polyfunctional aliphatic isocyanate, Desmodur N-100, was reacted with nitro reagent solution. The diisocyanate-nitro reagent mixture was allowed to react overnight and dilutions were made from this reaction mixture. Then-the solvent was evaporated in a rotary evaporator and the residue re-dissolved in 1 ml dichloromethane. Aliquots were injected, Fig. **2 shows the**

Fig. 1. Urea calibration curves on Partisil 5. $x = 4,4'$ -MDIU; $\Delta = 2,4$ -TDIU; $\bigcirc = 2,6$ -TDIU; \Box = **1,6-HDIU.**

Fig. 2. Calibration curves of isocyanate-nitro reagent reaction mixture on Partisil $5. \times = 4.4$ ²-MDI; $\Delta = 2,4$ -TDI; $\Box = 2,6$ -TDI; $\Box = 1,6$ -HDI; $\odot =$ Desmodur N-100.

calibration curves of peak area *versus* **amount of diisocyanates injected. The minimum quantities detected were 2 ng each of 4,4'-MD1 and 2,4-TDI; 2.7 ng of 2,GTDI; 5 ng of 1,6-HDI and 240 ng of Desmodur N-100.**

Fig. 3. Typical chromatograms of urea standard solutions at the lower nanogram range. Partisil 5 (5 cm \times 4.5 mm I.D.) linear gradient from B-A (1:10) to 100% B (see text), in 10 min (2 ml/min), **Waters Assoc. Model 440 absorbance detector (254 nm). Amount injected for each compound as shown.**

'Representative liquid chromatograms of the urea and the isocyanate-nitro reagent mixtures are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. In the case of toluene diisocyanates, 2,6-TDI is 53.8% of 2,4-TDI (*i.e.*, composition of Mondur TD).

Linearity was obtained up to 1,600 ng in the isocyanate-nitro reagent mixture, with unchanged peak symmetry, peak width and retention time. Higher solute concentrations were not investigated. In the present work, the injected volumes varied from 1 to 10 μ , even though a larger injection volume of 50 μ could also be used. In some cases, *a* monoisocyanate (p-tolylisocyanate) has been used to remove excess nitro reagent and/or serve as internal standard.

Fig. 4. Chromatograms of the isocyanate-nitro reagent reaction mixtures on Partisil 5. LC conditions are the same as Fig. 3. The nanogram amounts shown refer to the amount injected of each except for $2,6$ -TDI which is 53.8% of $2,4$ -TDI.

Fig. 5. The apparent reaction time of the isocyanate-nitro reagent solutions. LC on Corasil II, 37-50 μ m, 40 cm \times 2.1 mm I.D., linear gradient from acetonitrile-dichloromethane (1:20) to 100% **acetonitrile in 10 min** (2.0 **mljmin), Schoeffel Spectroflow Monitor at 254 nm.**

Of **particular interest is the speed of the isocyanate-nitro reagent reactions. Therefore, a simple** experiment was devised to determine the time dependence of the isocyanate reaction. One miIIiiiter **of 1 .O mg/mI nitro reagent in hexane was added to individual solutions containing the foIlowing diisocyanates in I mI dichloromethane:** 44 μ g 4,4'-MDI, 15.6 μ g 2,4-TDI, 8.4 μ g 2,6-TDI and 3.0 μ g 1,6-HDI. Aliquots of each solution were then injected at certain time invervals. The column used was Corasil II and the LC conditions were those shown in Fig. 5. Rapid reaction is, of course, the basis for the impinger/reaction method of collecting organic vapors in air. Fig. 5 shows that the apparent reaction time is quite rapid. 4,4'-MD1 and 2,4-TDI show instant complete reaction, 2,6-TDI took about 20 min and 1,6-HDI more than 1 h.

CONCLUSIONS

Derivatives **of** common industrial isocyanates intended for use as anaIytica1 standards were isolated and characterized. A reproducible Iiquid chromatographic method is demonstrated to allow detection at the low nanogram levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, contract no. CDC-210-75-0052.

. .

REFERENCES

- 1 K. Marcali, *Anal. Chem.*, 29 (1957) 552.
- 2 K. E Grim and A. L. Linch, *Amer. hf. Hyg. Ass., L, 25 (1964) 285.*
- *3 R* L. Larkin and R. E Kupel, *Amer. Ind Hyg. Ass., J., 30* (1969) 640_
- *4* J. KeIIer, K. L. DunIap and R L. Saudridge, *Anal. Chem., 46* (1974) 1845.
- 5 K. L. Dunlap, R. L. Sandridge and J. Keller, *Anal. Chem.*, 48 (1976) 497.
- 6 Regis Lab Notes, Regis Chemical Co., Morton Grove. Ill.. No. 20, July 1976.

L.